arXiv:1406.6528v2 [math.GR] 9 Feb 2016

Isoclinic Crossed Modules with GAP Implementations

A. Odabaş

Osmangazi University
Department of Mathematics - Computer
Eskişehir-Turkey

E.Ö. Uslu

Osmangazi University
Department of Mathematics - Computer
Eskişehir-Turkey

E. Ilgaz

Osmangazi University
Department of Mathematics - Computer
Eskişehir-Turkey

Abstract

We introduce the isoclinism of crossed modules. We also give GAP implementations for constructing the isoclinism families of finite crossed modules and consequently give an enumeration about isoclinic crossed modules existing in the GAP library.

Key words: Isoclinism, Crossed Module, GAP

⋆ This research was partly supported by .....

Email addresses: aodabas@ogu.edu.tr (A. Odabaş), enveruslu@ogu.edu.tr (E.Ö. Uslu), eilgaz@ogu.edu.tr (E. Ilgaz).

URLs: http://fef.ogu.edu.tr/matbil/aodabas/ (A. Odabaş), http://fef.ogu.edu.tr/matbil/enveruslu/ (E.Ö. Uslu), http://fef.ogu.edu.tr/matbil/eilgaz/ (E. Ilgaz).

2 April 2014

1. Introduction

The notion of isoclinism was first defined in Hall (1940), for a classification of finite groups whose orders are prime powers. This work was detailed in Hall and Senior (1964). After then, some new results were given in many papers, such as Jones and Wiegold (1974); Modabbernia (2012); Mohammadzadeh et al. (2013); Parvaneh et al. (2011); Salemkar et al. (2008); Tappe (1976). Also the relation between groups and their stem extensions with Schur multiplicators were given in Beyl and Tappe (1982) where they consider the isoclinism of central group extensions. In this work we consider the 2-dimensional group version, called "crossed module", of isoclinism and determine some basic results. This construction gives a new equivalence relation on crossed modules weaker then isomorphism. So we have a new classification of crossed modules and the resulting equivalence classes, called isoclinism families, are convenient with some algebraic invariants such as nilpotency classes, rank and middle length. For determine such a comparison, we defined some new concepts for crossed modules such as; class preserving actor, rank and middle length of crossed modules etc.

In general, the isoclinism is used for classification of finite groups, and there are many works concerning the enumeration of groups with finite order related to isoclinism, Hall and Senior (1964); James et al. (1990). So, we first construct GAP implementation for isoclinic groups, and as an example we give a character table consisting of the isoclinism families of the group with order 192. Enumeration of crossed modules and related structures are determined from many view points. In Alp and Wensley (2013); Brown and Wensley (2003); Ellis (2004); Ellis and Luyen (2012); Ellis (2013), one can found many computations about these notions with GAP. By a similar way, we give a GAP implementation for classification of finite crossed modules up to isoclinism. For this, we added some new functions which do not exist in XMod package, such as; `DerivedSubXMod(XM)`, `FactorXMod(XM,NM)`, `IsIsoclinicXMod(XM1,XM2)`.

In order to get our goals, we organize the paper as follows;

In Section 2, we recall some needed results about crossed modules and introduce some new notions which will be used in the sequel of the paper.

In Section 3, we introduce the notion of isoclinism for crossed modules and establish the basic theory. As expected, we give the compatibility of this definition with nilpotency, solvability and class preserving actors of crossed modules as it was the case for groups.

In Section 4, we give GAP implementations for computing the isoclinism families of crossed modules in low order.

In Section 5, by using these implementations, we give character tables consisting isoclinism families of certain crossed modules. These tables are particular examples which show that the definition of isoclinism is convenient with the nilpotency classes and other invariants in low order.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some needed material about crossed modules. Crossed modules were defined by Whitehead (1948), as an algebraic model for homotopy 3-types. We refer to Brown et al. (2011); Norrie (1990, 1987); Porter (2011), for a compherensive and detailed work.

Definition 1. *A crossed module* is a group homomorphism

$$d : G_1 \longrightarrow G_0$$

with a left action from G_0 on G_1 written $(g_0, g_1) \mapsto {}^{g_0}g_1$, for $g_0 \in G_0, g_1 \in G_1$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$1)\ d({}^{g_0}g_1) = g_0 d(g_1) g_0^{-1},$$
$$2)\ {}^{d(g_1)}g_1' = g_1 g_1' g_1^{-1},$$

for all $g_0 \in G_0$, $g_1, g_1' \in G_1$.

We will denote such a crossed module by $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$.

Examples 1.

(1) Let N be a normal subgroup of M. Then $N \xhookrightarrow{inc.} M$ is a crossed module with conjugate action of M on N. Consequently, every group M can be thought as a crossed module in the two obvious way: $1 \xhookrightarrow{inc.} M$ or $M \xrightarrow{id} M$.

(2) $1 \xrightarrow{1} 1$ is a crossed module and it is called the *trivial crossed module*.

(3) $K \xrightarrow{1} L$ is a crossed module, where K is a L-module and the boundary operator is the zero map.

(4) $M \xrightarrow{c} Aut(M)$ is a crossed module, where c assigns to each element $x \in M$, the inner automorphism c_x of M defined by $c_x(m) = xmx^{-1}$, for all $m \in M$.

Definition 2. A crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ is called *aspherical* if $\ker d = 1$, i.e d is injective, and *simply connected* if $\mathrm{coker} d = 1$, i.e d is surjective.

A morphism between two crossed modules $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ and $G' : G_1' \xrightarrow{d'} G_0'$ is a pair (α, β) of group homomorphisms $\alpha : G_1 \longrightarrow G_1'$, $\beta : G_0 \longrightarrow G_0'$, such that $\beta d = d'\alpha$ and $\alpha({}^{g_0}g_1) = {}^{\beta(g_0)}\alpha(g_1)$, for all $g_0 \in G_0$, $g_1 \in G_1$. Consequently we have the category **XMod** whose objects are the crossed modules and its morphisms are the morphisms of crossed modules.

Remark 1. Since $M \xrightarrow{id} M$ is a crossed module, for any group M, the category of groups can be thought as a full subcategory of crossed modules.

We say that (α, β) is an automorphism of G if α and β are both automorphisms. We denote the group of automorphisms of the crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ by $Aut(G)$ where the multiplication is defined by componentwise composition.

Existing of zero object and equalizers give rise to subobjects and normal subobjects. A crossed module $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ is a *subcrossed module* of a crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ if H_1, H_0 are subgroups of G_1, G_0, respectively, $d_H = d_G|_{H_1}$ and the action of H_0 on H_1 is induced by the action of G_0 on G_1. Also, a subcrossed module $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ of a crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ is *normal* if H_0 is a normal subgroup of G_0, ${}^{g_0}h_1 \in H_1$ and ${}^{h_0}g_1 g_1^{-1} \in H_1$, for all $g_0 \in G_0$, $g_1 \in G_1$, $h_0 \in H_0$, $h_1 \in H_1$. Consequently, we have the *quotient crossed module* $G/H : G_1/H_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{d_G}} G_0/H_0$ with the induced boundary map and action.

Definition 3. Let $(\alpha, \beta) : (G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0) \longrightarrow (G' : G'_1 \xrightarrow{d'} G'_0)$ be a crossed module morphism. The *kernel* of (α, β) is the normal subcrossed module $(\ker\alpha, \ker\beta, d|)$ of G, denoted by $\ker(\alpha, \beta)$ and the *image* $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha, \beta)$ is the subcrossed module $(\mathrm{Im}\,\alpha, \mathrm{Im}\,\beta, d'|)$ of G'.

Analogous to group theory, we have the third isomorphism theorem for crossed modules given in Norrie (1987).

Let $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d} H_0$ and $K : K_1 \xrightarrow{d} K_0$ be a subcrossed modules of $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$. Then the *intersection* of H and K is defined as the subcrossed module

$$H \cap K : H_1 \cap K_1 \xrightarrow{d} H_0 \cap K_0,$$

which is normal in G. HK is also defined as the crossed submodule $HK : H_1K_1 \xrightarrow{d} H_0K_0$ when K is normal. Consequently, we have

$$\frac{H}{H \cap K} \cong \frac{HK}{K}.$$

Definition 4. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ be a crossed module. A *derivation* from G_0 to G_1 is the map $\partial : G_0 \longrightarrow G_1$ such that $\partial(xy) = \partial(x)^x\partial(y)$, for all $x, y \in G_0$.

The set of all derivations is denoted by $Der(G_0, G_1)$.

As defined in Whitehead (1948), $Der(G_0, G_1)$ is a semigroup with the multiplication $\partial_1 \circ \partial_2$ defined by

$$(\partial_1 \circ \partial_2)(g_0) = \partial_1(d\partial_2(g_0)g_0)\partial_2(g_0) = (\partial_1 d(g_1)g_1)\partial_2(g_0)\partial_1(g_0),$$

for all $g_0 \in G_0$, ∂_1, $\partial_2 \in Der(G_0, G_1)$ where the identity element is the zero map. The *Whitehead group* $D(G_0, G_1)$ is defined to be the group of units of $Der(G_0, G_1)$, and the elements of $D(G_0, G_1)$ are called regular derivations.

Due to Norrie (1990), for a given crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$, we have the crossed module

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : D(G_0, G_1) &\longrightarrow Aut(G) \\ \partial &\longmapsto (\partial d, d\partial) \end{aligned}$$

with the action of $Aut(G)$ on $D(G_0, G_1)$ given by ${}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\partial = \alpha\partial\beta^{-1}$, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in Aut(G)$, $\partial \in D(G_0, G_1)$. This crossed module is called the *actor* of G, and denoted by $Act(G)$.

This structure was introduced by Lue (1979) and developed in Norrie (1990). The actor objects are defined for introduce the actions in the category of crossed modules from which the objects such as centers, commutators, Abelian objects, semi direct products are defined.

There is a canonical morphism of crossed modules

$$(\eta, \gamma) : G \longrightarrow Act(G)$$

given by $\eta : G_1 \longrightarrow D(G_0, G_1)$, $\eta_{g_1}(g_0) = g_1\ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1}$ and $\gamma : G_0 \longrightarrow Aut(G)$, $\gamma(g_0) = (\alpha_{g_0}, \phi_{g_0})$ such that $\alpha_{g_0}(g_1) = {}^{g_0}g_1$ and $\phi_{g_0}(g'_0) = g_0g'_0g_0^{-1}$, for all $g_0, g'_0 \in G_0, g_1 \in G_1$. The image of the morphism (η, γ) is called the *inner actor* of the crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$, denoted by $InnAct(G)$.

Definition 5. The *center* of the crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ is defined as the normal subcrossed module

$$Z(G) : G_1^{G_0} \xrightarrow{d|} St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)$$

where

$$G_1^{G_0} = \{g_1 \in G_1 : {}^{g_0}g_1 = g_1, \text{ for all } g_0 \in G_0\},$$

$$St_{G_0}(G_1) = \{g_0 \in G_0 : {}^{g_0}g_1 = g_1, \text{ for all } g_1 \in G_1\}$$

and $Z(G_0)$ is the center of G_0.This definition recovers the generalized definition of Huq given in Huq (1968). (Here $G_1^{G_0}$ is called *fixed point subgroup* of G_1 and $St_{G_0}(G_1)$ is called *the stabilizer of* G_1 in G_0.) So, $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ is called *Abelian* when $G = Z(G)$.

Definition 6. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ be a crossed module. The *commutator subcrossed module* $[G, G]$ of G is defined by

$$[G, G] : D_{G_0}(G_1) \xrightarrow{d|} [G_0, G_0]$$

where $D_{G_0}(G_1)$ is the subgroup generated by $\{{}^{g_0}g_1g_1^{-1} : g_1 \in G_1, g_0 \in G_0\}$ and $[G_0, G_0]$ is the commutator subgroup of G_0.

Proposition 1. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ be a crossed module. Then we have the following;
(i) If G is simply connected, then $G_1^{G_0} = Z(G_1)$ and $D_{G_0}(G_1) = [G_1, G_1]$.
(ii) If G is aspherical, then $Z(G_0) = St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)$.

Proof. Can be checked by a direct calculation. □

Finally, we have stem crossed modules defined as the crossed modules whose centers are in their commutators. This definition was introduced in Vieites and Casas (2002).

Example 2. The crossed module $G : Kl_4 \xrightarrow{d} C_3$ is a stem crossed module. Since $Z(G) = \{e\} \longrightarrow \{e\}$ and $[G, G] : Kl_4 \longrightarrow \{e\}$, we have $Z(G) \subseteq [G, G]$.

Definition 7. A crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ is called *finite* if G_1 and G_0 are finite groups.

The order of a finite crossed module is defined as the pair $[m, n]$ where m, n are the orders of G_1, G_0, respectively.

Let $H : H_1 \longrightarrow H_0$ be a subcrossed module of the crossed module $G : G_1 \longrightarrow G_0$. Suppose that there is a finite sequence $H^i : (H_1^i \longrightarrow H_0^i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ of the subcrossed modules of G such that

$$H = H^0 \trianglelefteq H^1 \trianglelefteq \ldots \trianglelefteq H^{n-1} \trianglelefteq H^n = G.$$

This will be called a *series* of length n from H to G. The subcrossed modules H^0, H^1,..., H^n are called the *terms* of the series and quotient crossed modules H^i/H^{i-1}, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are called the *factors* of the series. A series 1 to G is shortly called a *series* of G. A series is called *central* if all factors are central. G is called *solvable* if it has a series all of whose factors are Abelian crossed modules and is called *nilpotent* if it has a series all of whose factors are central factors of G.

Definition 8. Let $G : G_1 \longrightarrow G_0$ be nilpotent. Then, for any central series

$$1 = G^0 \trianglelefteq G^1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq G^r = G_0$$

of G, we have

$$\Gamma_{r-i+1}(G) \leq G^i \leq \xi_i(G),$$

$i = 0, 1, \ldots, r$ where $\Gamma_1(G) = (G)$, $\xi_0(G) = 1$ and

$$\Gamma_n(G) = [\Gamma_{n-1}(G), G],\ n > 1$$

$$\xi_n(G_0)/\xi_{n-1}(G_0) = \xi(G_0/\xi_{n-1}(G_0)),\ n > 0.$$

Furthermore, the least integer c such that $\Gamma_{c+1}(G) = 1$ is equal to the least integer c such that $\xi_c(G) = G$. The integer c is called the *nilpotency class* of the crossed module G.

Definition 9. Let $G : G_1 \longrightarrow G_0$ be solvable and let n be the least integer such that $G^n = 1$ where G^n is the subcrossed module of G such that $G^0 = G$ and for each integer $n > 0$, $G^n = [G^{n-1}, G^{n-1}]$. Then we call n the *derived length* of G.

3. Isoclinic Crossed Modules

In this section we introduce the notion of isoclinic crossed modules to have a new equivalence relation on crossed modules weaker then isomorphism, which gives rise to a new classification. First, we recall the definition of isoclinic groups from Hall (1940).

Let M and N be two groups. M and N are *isoclinic* if there exist isomorphisms $\eta : M/Z(M) \longrightarrow N/Z(N)$ and $\xi : [M, M] \longrightarrow [N, N]$ between central quotients and derived subgroups, respectively, such that, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M/Z(M) \times M/Z(M) & \xrightarrow{c_M} & [M, M] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta\times\eta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi} \\ N/Z(N) \times N/Z(N) & \xrightarrow[c_N]{} & [N, N] \end{array}$$

where c_M, c_N are commutator maps of groups. The pair (η, ξ) is called an isoclinism from M to N, and denoted by $(\eta, \xi) : M \sim N$.

Remark 2. As expected, isoclinism is an equivalence relation.

Examples 3.

(1) All Abelian groups are isoclinic to each other.

(2) The dihedral, quasidihedral and quaternion groups of order 2^n are isoclinic, for $n \geq 3$.

(3) Every group is isoclinic to a stem group. (See Hall (1940), for details.)

Now we are going to define the notion of isoclinic crossed modules.

Notation In the sequel of the paper, for a given crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$, we denote $G/Z(G)$ by $\overline{G_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_G}} \overline{G_0}$ where $\overline{G_1} = G_1/G_1^{G_0}$ and $\overline{G_0} = G_0/(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$, for shortness.

Definition 10. The crossed modules $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ and $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ are *isoclinic* if there exist isomorphisms

$$(\eta_1, \eta_0) : (\overline{G_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_G}} \overline{G_0}) \longrightarrow (\overline{H_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_H}} \overline{H_0})$$

and

$$(\xi_1, \xi_0) : (D_{G_0}(G_1) \xrightarrow{d_G|} [G_0, G_0]) \longrightarrow (D_{H_0}(H_1) \xrightarrow{d_H|} [H_0, H_0])$$

such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{G_1} \times \overline{G_0} & \xrightarrow{c_1} & D_{G_0}(G_1) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta_1 \times \eta_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_1} \\ \overline{H_1} \times \overline{H_0} & \xrightarrow[c_1{}']{} & D_{H_0}(H_1) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{G_0} \times \overline{G_0} & \xrightarrow{c_0} & [G_0, G_0] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta_0 \times \eta_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_0} \\ \overline{H_0} \times \overline{H_0} & \xrightarrow[c_0{}']{} & [H_0, H_0] \end{array} \tag{2}$$

are commutative where c_1, c_1' defined by $c_1(g_1 G_1^{G_0}, g_0(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))) = {}^{g_0}g_1 g_1^{-1}$, $c_1'(h_1 H_1^{H_0}, h_0(St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0))) = {}^{h_0}h_1 h_1^{-1}$, for all $g_1 \in G_1$, $g_0 \in G_0$, $h_0 \in H_0$, $h_1 \in H_1$ and c_0, c_0' defined by $c_0(g_0(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)), g_0'(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))) = [g_0, g_0']$, $c_0'(h_0(St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0)), h_0'(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))) = [h_0, h_0']$, for all $g_0, g_0' \in G_0$ and $h_0, h_0' \in H_0$. (The well definition of the maps c_1, c_0, c_1' and c_0' are given in Appendix A.)

The pair $((\eta_1, \eta_0), (\xi_1, \xi_0))$ is called an *isoclinism* from G to H and this situation is denoted by $((\eta_1, \eta_0), (\xi_1, \xi_0)) : G \sim H$.

Remark 3. If the crossed modules G and H are simply connected or finite, then the commutativity of diagrams (1) with (2) in Definition 10 are equivalent to the commutativity of following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) & \longrightarrow & [G, G] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\eta_1, \eta_0) \times (\eta_1, \eta_0)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\xi_1, \xi_0)} \\ H/Z(H) \times H/Z(H) & \longrightarrow & [H, H] \end{array}$$

Examples 4.

(1) All Abelian crossed modules are isoclinic.

(2) Let M and N be isoclinic groups. Then $M \xrightarrow{id} M$ is isoclinic to $N \xrightarrow{id} N$.

(3) Let M be a group and let N be a normal subgroup of M with $NZ(M) = M$. Then $N \xrightarrow{inc.} M$ is isoclinic to $M \xrightarrow{id} M$.

(4) Some particular examples can be found in Section 5.

Proposition 2. Isoclinism is an equivalence relation.

Proof. One can easily check by a direct calculation. □

In Hall (1940), it is proved that every group is isoclinic to a stem group. This property depents on the construction of Schur multiplicator and stem extensions of a group as given in Beyl and Tappe (1982). The same definitions and constructions were given for crossed modules in Vieites and Casas (2002).

Proposition 3. Every crossed module is isoclinic to a stem crossed module.

Proof. It can be proved by using the related constructions and definitions given in Beyl and Tappe (1982); Vieites and Casas (2002). □

Proposition 4. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ be a crossed module and $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d|} H_0$ be its subcrossed module. If $G = HZ(G)$, i.e $G_1 = H_1 G_1^{G_0}$ and $G_0 = H_0(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$, then G is isoclinic to H.

Proof. First, we will show that $H_1^{H_0} = H_1 \cap G_1^{G_0}$ and $St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0) = H_0 \cap (St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$.

Let $h_1 \in H_1^{H_0}$. For any $g_0 \in G_0$, since $G_0 = H_0(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$ there exist $a_0 \in St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)$ and $h_0' \in H_0$ such that $g_0 = h_0' a_0$. We have ${}^{g_0}h_1 = {}^{(h_0' a_0)}h_1 = {}^{h_0'}({}^{a_0}h_1) = {}^{h_0'}h_1 = h_1$, so $h_1 \in H_1 \cap G_1^{G_0}$. Conversely, for any $h_1 \in H_1 \cap G_1^{G_0}$, we have $h_1 \in H_1^{H_0}$. So, $H_1^{H_0} = H_1 \cap G_1^{G_0}$.

Let $h_0 \in St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0)$. For any $g_1 \in G_1$, there exist $k_1 \in H_1$ and $a_1 \in G_1^{G_0}$ such that $g_1 = k_1 a_1$. Then

$$ {}^{h_0}g_1 = {}^{h_0}(k_1 a_1) = {}^{h_0}k_1 \ {}^{h_0}a_1 = k_1 a_1,$$

which means that $h_0 \in St_{G_0}(G_1)$. On the other hand, it is clear that $h_0 \in Z(G_0)$. Then, we obtain $h_0 \in H_0 \cap (St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$. By a direct calculation we get $St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0) = H_0 \cap (St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$.

By the third isomorphism theorem for crossed modules, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{H}{Z(H)} &= \frac{(H_1, H_0, d|)}{(H_1^{H_0}, St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0), d|)} \\
&= \frac{(H_1, H_0, d|)}{(H_1 \cap G_1^{G_0}, H_0 \cap (St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)), d|)} \\
&= \frac{(H_1, H_0, d|)}{(G_1^{G_0}, St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0), d|) \cap (H_1, H_0, d|)} \\
&\cong \frac{(H_1, H_0, d|)(G_1^{G_0}, St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0), d|)}{(G_1^{G_0}, St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0), d|)} \\
&= \frac{HZ(G)}{Z(G)} \\
&= \frac{G}{Z(G)},
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Let ${}^{g_0}g_1 g_1^{-1} \in D_{G_0}(G_1)$, then there exist $h_1 \in H_1$, $a_1 \in G_1^{G_0}$, $h_0 \in H_0$, $a_0 \in$

$(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$ such that $g_1 = h_1 a_1$ and $g_0 = h_0 a_0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{g_0}g_1 g_1^{-1} &= {}^{(h_0 a_0)}(h_1 a_1)(h_1 a_1)^{-1} \\
&= {}^{h_0 a_0}(h_1)^{h_0 a_0}(a_1)(h_1 a_1)^{-1} \\
&= {}^{h_0}({}^{a_0}h_1)^{h_0}({}^{a_0}a_1)a_1^{-1}h_1^{-1} \\
&= ({}^{h_0}h_1)({}^{h_0}a_1)a_1^{-1}h_1^{-1} \\
&= ({}^{h_0}h_1)a_1 a_1^{-1}h_1^{-1} \\
&= {}^{h_0}h_1 h_1^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

we have ${}^{g_0}g_1 g_1^{-1} \in D_{H_0}(H_1)$. On the other hand, for any $[g_0, g_0'] \in [G_0, G_0]$ there exist $h_0, h_0' \in H_0$, $a_0, a_0' \in (St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$ such that $g_0 = h_0 a_0$, $g_0' = h_0' a_0'$, from which we get $[g_0, g_0'] = [h_0 a_0, h_0' a_0'] = [h_0, h_0']$.

Finally, we have that the crossed modules G and H are isoclinic where the isomorphisms (η_1, η_0) and (ξ_1, ξ_0) are defined by $(inc., inc.)$, (id_{G_1}, id_{G_0}), respectively. □

Remark 4. When $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d|} H_0$ is finite crossed module then the converse of Proposition 4 is true.

Proposition 5. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ and $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ be isoclinic crossed modules.
(i) If G and H are aspherical, then G_0 and H_0 are isoclinic groups.
(ii) If G and H are simply connected, then G_1 and H_1 are isoclinic groups.

Proof. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ and $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ be isoclinic crossed modules. Then we have the crossed module isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\eta_1, \eta_0) : (\overline{G_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_G}} \overline{G_0}) \longrightarrow (\overline{H_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_H}} \overline{H_0}) \\
&(\xi_1, \xi_0) : (D_{G_0}(G_1) \xrightarrow{d_G|} [G_0, G_0]) \longrightarrow (D_{H_0}(H_1) \xrightarrow{d_H|} [H_0, H_0])
\end{aligned}$$

which makes diagrams (1) and (2) commutative.

(i) From asphericallity of the crossed modules, we have $Z(G_0) \subseteq St_{G_0}(G_1)$, $Z(H_0) \subseteq St_{H_0}(H_1)$. Consequently, η_0 is an isomorphism between $G_0/Z(G_0)$ and $H_0/Z(H_0)$. So the isomorphisms η_0 and ξ_0 give rise to an isoclinism from G_0 to H_0.

(ii) Since G and H are simply connected crossed modules, we have $G_1^{G_0} = Z(G_1)$, $H_1^{H_0} = Z(H_1)$, $D_{G_0}(G_1) = [G_1, G_1]$ and $D_{H_0}(H_1) = [H_1, H_1]$. So we have the isomorphisms $\eta_1 : G_1/Z(G_1) \longrightarrow H_1/Z(H_1)$, $\xi_1 : [G_1, G_1] \longrightarrow [H_1, H_1]$ which make G_1 and H_1 isoclinic. □

Proposition 6. Let G and H be isoclinic finite crossed modules. Then G_1 and G_0 are isoclinic to H_1 and H_0, respectively.

Proof. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ and $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ be isoclinic crossed module. Then we have the crossed module isomorphisms

$$(\eta_1, \eta_0) : (\overline{G_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_G}} \overline{G_0}) \longrightarrow (\overline{H_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_H}} \overline{H_0})$$
$$(\xi_1, \xi_0) : (D_{G_0}(G_1) \xrightarrow{d_G|} [G_0, G_0]) \longrightarrow (D_{H_0}(H_1) \xrightarrow{d_H|} [H_0, H_0])$$

which makes diagrams (1) and (2) commutative. The isomorphism $\xi_1 : D_{G_0}G_1 \longrightarrow D_{H_0}H_1$ gives rise to the restriction $\xi_1| : [G_1, G_1] \longrightarrow [H_1, H_1]$ which is also an isomorphism by the finiteness of G_1 and H_1. Similarly, we have the isomorphisms $\eta_1' : G_1/Z(G_1) \longrightarrow H_1/Z(H_1), \eta_1'(g_1Z(G_1)) = h_1Z(H_1), \eta_0' : G_0/Z(G_0) \longrightarrow H_0/Z(H_0), \eta_0'(g_0Z(G_0)) = h_0Z(H_0)$, and ξ_0 which makes G_1, G_0 isoclinic to H_1, H_0, respectively. □

Remark 5. In general, the finiteness of a crossed module $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ does not give the equation $[G_1, G_1] = D_{G_0}(G_1)$. For the crossed module $C_8 \xrightarrow{d} C_2$, we have $[C_8, C_8] = \{e\}$ and $D_{C_2}(C_8) = C_4$. So $[C_8, C_8] \neq D_{C_2}(C_8)$.

As indicated in Hall and Senior (1964), the isoclinism of two groups doesn't give rise to the isomorphism of their automorphism groups.

Example 5. Despite the fact that C_{32} isoclinic to $Kl(4)$, $Aut(C_{32})$ isn't isomorphic to $Aut(Kl_4)$. Since, $|Aut(C_{32})| = 16 \neq 6 = |Aut(Kl(4))|$. But, in Yadav (2008), it is shown that, class preserving automorphism groups of isoclinic groups are isomorphic.

In the crossed module case, we obtain the same results. For this, first we introduce the class preserving actor of a crossed module as follows;

Proposition 7. Let $G : G_1 \longrightarrow G_0$ be a crossed module, $D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1) = \{\delta \in D(G_0, G_1) \mid$ there exists $g_1 \in G_1$ such that $\delta(g_0) = g_1\ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1}$, for all $g_0 \in G_0\}$ and $Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(G) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in Aut(G) \mid$ there exists $g_0 \in G_0$ such that $\alpha(g_1) = {}^{g_0}g_1$, $\beta(g_0') = g_0g_0'g_0^{-1}$, for all $g_1 \in G_1$, $g_0' \in G_0\}$. Then, we have the following:
(a) $D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$ is a subgroup of $D(G_0, G_1)$.
(b) $Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ is a subgroup of $Aut(G)$.

Proof. The proof is given in Appendix A. □

Proposition 8. $Act_{\mathcal{C}}(G) : D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}} Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ is a crossed module with the action induced from the action of $Aut(G)$ over $D(G_0, G_1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \times D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1) &\longrightarrow D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1) \\ ((\alpha, \beta), \delta) &\longmapsto {}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\delta \end{aligned}$$

${}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\delta(h_0) = \alpha(g_1)^{h_0}\alpha(g_1)^{-1}$, for all $h_0 \in G_0$ and $g_1 \in G_1$.

Proof. It can be shown by a direct calculation. □

Definition 11. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ be a crossed module. The crossed module

$$Act_{\mathcal{C}}(G) : D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}} Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$$

define in Proposition 8 will be called *class preserving actor* of G and will be denoted by $Act_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$.

Theorem 9. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ and $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ be isoclinic crossed modules. Then, we have $D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1) \cong D_{\mathcal{C}}(H_0, H_1)$.

Proof. Suppose that G and H are isoclinic crossed modules. Then we have the isomorphisms

$$(\eta_1, \eta_0) : (\overline{G_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_G}} \overline{G_0}) \longrightarrow (\overline{H_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_H}} \overline{H_0})$$
$$(\xi_1, \xi_0) : (D_{G_0}(G_1) \xrightarrow{d_G\downarrow} [G_0, G_0]) \longrightarrow (D_{H_0}(H_1) \xrightarrow{d_H\downarrow} [H_0, H_0])$$

which makes the diagrams (1) and (2) commutative. Let $\delta_G \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$, $h_0 \in H_0 - (St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0))$ and $\overline{h_0} = h_0 St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0) \in \overline{H_0}$. Define $\overline{g_0} = g_0 St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0) = \eta_0^{-1}(\overline{h_0}) \in \overline{G_0}$. Since $g_0 \in G_0$, there exists an element $a_1 \in G_1$ such that $\delta_G(g_0) = a_1 \ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1}$.

Let $\eta_1(\overline{a_1}) = \overline{a_1'}$. Now we define a map $\delta_H : H_0 \longrightarrow H_1$ by

$$\delta_H(h_o) = \begin{cases} a_1' \ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1} & h_0 \in H_0 - (St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0)) \\ e_{H_1} & h_0 \in St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0). \end{cases}$$

We will give the proof step-by-step.

Step 1 δ_H is well defined.

Proof: Let $h_0, h_0' \in H_0 - (St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0))$. Then $\overline{h_0}$, $\overline{h_0'} \in \overline{H_0}$ and $\overline{g_0} = \eta_0^{-1}(\overline{h_0}) \in \overline{G_0}$, $\overline{g_0'} = \eta_0^{-1}(\overline{h_0'}) \in \overline{G_0}$. So g_0, $g_0' \in G_0$ and there exist elements a_1, $b_1 \in G_1$ such that $\delta_G(g_0) = a_1 \ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1}$ and $\delta_G(g_0') = b_1 \ {}^{g_0'}b_1^{-1}$. Now we will show that if $h_0 = h_0'$, then $a_1' \ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1} = b_1' \ {}^{h_0'}(b_1')^{-1}$ where $\overline{a_1'} = \eta_1(\overline{a_1})$, $\overline{b_1'} = \eta_1(\overline{b_1})$.

Let $h_0 = h_0'$. Then $\overline{g_0} = \overline{g_0'}$ which means $(g_0')^{-1}g_0 \in St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)$. Say, $(g_0')^{-1}g_0 = g$ where $g \in St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_G(g_0) &= \delta_G(g_0' g) \\ &= \delta_G(g_0')^{g_0'}\delta_G(g) \quad (\because \delta_G \in D(G_0, G_1)) \\ &= \delta_G(g_0')^{g_0'}e_{G_1} \qquad (\because g \in St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)) \\ &= \delta_G(g_0'). \end{aligned}$$

So, $a_1 \ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1} = b_1 \ {}^{g_0'}b_1^{-1}$. By applying ξ_1, we get $a_1' \ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1} = b_1' \ {}^{h_0'}(b_1')^{-1}$ which shows the well definition of δ_H.

Step 2 $\delta_H \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(H_0, H_1)$.

Proof: Let $h_0, h_0' \in H_0$. We must check that $\delta_H(h_0 h_0') = \delta_H(h_0)({}^{h_0}\delta_H(h_o'))$.

(i) If $h_0, h_0' \in St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0)$, then $h_0 h_0' \in St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0)$. So, $\delta_H(h_0 h_0') = e_{H_1}$ and $\delta_H(h_0)({}^{h_0}\delta_H(h_0')) = e_{H_1} \ {}^{h_0}e_{H_1} = e_{H_1}$. That is, $\delta_H(h_0 h_0') = \delta_H(h_0)({}^{h_0}\delta_H(h_0'))$.

(ii) If $h_0 \in H_0 - (St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0))$ and $h_0' \in St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0)$, then $h_0 h_0' \in H_0 - (St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0))$. Since $\delta_H(h_0) = a_1' \ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1}$ and $\delta_H(h_0') = e_{H_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_H(h_0h_0') &= a_1'\ {}^{h_0h_0'}(a_1')^{-1}\\ &= a_1'\ {}^{h_0h_0'}({}^{(h_0')^{-1}}(a_1')^{-1})\\ &= a_1'\ {}^{(h_0h_0'(h_0')^{-1})}(a_1')^{-1}\\ &= a_1'\ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1}e_{H_1}\\ &= (a_1'\ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1})^{h_0}e_{H_1}\\ &= \delta_H(h_0)({}^{h_0}\delta_H(h_0')).\end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $h_0, h_0' \in \underline{H_0 - (St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0))}$, then $h_0h_0' \in H_0 - (St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0))$ and $\eta_0^{-1}(\overline{h_0h_0'}) = \eta_0^{-1}(\overline{h_0})\eta_0^{-1}(\overline{h_0'}) = \overline{g_0}\overline{g_0'} = \overline{g_0g_0'}$, where $g_0,\ g_0' \in G_0$. Let $\delta_G(g_0g_0') = a_1b_1\ {}^{g_0g_0'}(a_1b_1)^{-1}$, $\delta_G(g_0) = a_1\ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1}$ and $\delta_G(g_0') = b_1\ {}^{g_0'}b_1^{-1}$. Since $\delta_G(g_0g_0') = \delta_G(g_0)^{g_0}\delta_G(g_0')$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}a_1b_1\ {}^{g_0g_0'}(a_1b_1)^{-1} &= (a_1\ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1})\ {}^{g_0}(b_1\ {}^{g_0'}b_1^{-1})\\ &= (a_1\ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1})({}^{g_0}b_1\ {}^{g_0g_0'}b_1^{-1})\\ &= (a_1\ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1})({}^{g_0}b_1\ (b_1^{-1}b_1)\ {}^{g_0g_0'}b_1^{-1})\\ &= (a_1\ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1})({}^{g_0}b_1b_1^{-1})(b_1\ {}^{g_0g_0'}b_1^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

By applying ξ_1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}a_1'b_1'\ {}^{h_0h_0'}(a_1'b_1')^{-1} &= (a_1'\ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1})({}^{h_0}b_1'(b_1')^{-1})(b_1'\ {}^{h_0h_0'}(b_1')^{-1})\\ &= (a_1'\ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1})({}^{h_0}b_1'\ {}^{h_0h_0'}(b_1')^{-1})\\ &= (a_1'\ {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1})^{h_0}(b_1'\ {}^{h_0'}(b_1')^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\delta_H(h_0h_0') = \delta_H(h_0)^{h_0}\delta_H(h_o')$.
From definition of δ_H, we obtain $\delta_H \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(H_0, H_1)$.

Step 3 The map

$$\begin{aligned}\phi :\ D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1) &\longrightarrow D_{\mathcal{C}}(H_0, H_1)\\ \delta_G &\longmapsto \delta_H\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof: Let $\delta_G, \delta_G' \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$, $h_0 \in H_0$ and $\overline{g_0} = \eta_0^{-1}(\overline{h_0})$. Since $\delta_G\delta_G' \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$, there exists $a \in G_1$ such that $(\delta_G\delta_G')(g_0) = a\ {}^{g_0}a^{-1}$. Also there exist $a_1, b_1 \in G_1$ such that $\delta_G(g_0) = a_1\ {}^{g_0}a_1^{-1}$, $\delta_G'(g_0) = b_1\ {}^{g_0}b_1^{-1}$. Since $(\delta_G\delta_G')(g_0) = a_1b_1\ {}^{g_0}(a_1b_1)^{-1}$, we get $a\ {}^{g_0}a^{-1} = a_1b_1\ {}^{g_0}(a_1b_1)^{-1}$.

By applying ξ_1, we get

$$a'\ {}^{h_0}(a')^{-1} = a_1'b_1'\ {}^{h_0}(a_1'b_1')^{-1},$$

since $\eta_1(\overline{a_1b_1}) = \eta_1(\overline{a_1})\eta_1(\overline{b_1}) = \overline{a_1'b_1'} = \overline{a_1'b_1'}$. Finally, since

$$\phi_{\delta_G\delta_G'}(h_0) = a'\ {}^{h_0}(a')^{-1}$$

and

$$\phi_{\delta_G}\phi_{\delta_G'}(h_0) = a_1'b_1'\ {}^{h_0}(a_1'b_1')^{-1},\ \text{for all } h_0 \in H_0,$$

we have $\phi_{\delta_G\delta_G'} = \phi_{\delta_G}\phi_{\delta_G'}$, i.e ϕ is a homomorphism.

Similarly, for each $\delta_H \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(H_0, H_1)$, we can define $\delta_G \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$ and the homomorphism $\varphi : D_{\mathcal{C}}(H_0, H_1) \to D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$, $\varphi(\delta_H) = \delta_G$ as follows;

Let $\delta_H \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(H_0, H_1)$ and $g_0 \in G_0 - (St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$. Define $\overline{h_0} = h_0(St_{H_0}(H_1) \cap Z(H_0)) = \eta_0(\overline{g_0}) \in \overline{H_0}$. So there exists an element $a_1' \in H_1$ such that $\delta_H(h_0) = a_1' {}^{h_0}(a_1')^{-1}$.

Let $\eta_1^{-1}(\overline{a_1'}) = \overline{a_1}$. Now we define the map $\delta_G : G_0 \longrightarrow G_1$ by

$$\delta_G(g_o) = \begin{cases} a_1 \ {}^{g_0}(a_1)^{-1} & g_0 \in G_0 - (St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(H_0)) \\ e_{G_1} & g_0 \in St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0) \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\phi\varphi = id_{D_{\mathcal{C}}(H_0,H_1)}$ and $\varphi\phi = id_{D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0,G_1)}$. Thus the homomorphism ϕ is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 10. If G and H are finite crossed modules, then $Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \cong Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(H)$.

Proof. It can be easily checked by a similar way of Theorem 4.1 in Yadav (2008). □

Corollary 11. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ and $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ be two finite isoclinic crossed modules. Then $Act_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \cong Act_{\mathcal{C}}(H)$.

Example 6. Let $M = Kl_4$ and $N = C_{32}$. The crossed modules $M \xrightarrow{id} M$ and $N \xrightarrow{id} N$ are isoclinic but their actors $(Aut(M), Aut(M), \Delta) \ncong (Aut(N), Aut(N), \Delta)$. On the other hand, their class preserving actors $(Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(M), Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(M), \Delta|)$ and $(Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(N), Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(N), \Delta|)$ are isomorphic. (See Example 5, for details.)

In group theory, if M and N are isoclinic groups, then M is nilpotent (solvable) if and only if N is nilpotent (solvable), and they have the same nilpotency class (derived length). On the other hand, for crossed modules, if $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ is nilpotent (solvable) then all subcrossed modules and all quotient crossed modules of G are nilpotent (solvable). Also, if $G/Z(G)$ is nilpotent (solvable), then G is nilpotent (solvable). So, we have the following result:

Proposition 12. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d_G} G_0$ and $H : H_1 \xrightarrow{d_H} H_0$ be two isoclinic crossed modules.

(i) G is nilpotent (solvable) crossed module if and only if H is nilpotent (solvable).

(ii) If G and H are nilpotent (solvable) and both nontrivial, then they have the same nilpotency class (derived length).

Remark 6. When we consider the groups as crossed modules, then we recover classical results for isoclinic groups. In fact, if $M \xrightarrow{id} M$ and $N \xrightarrow{id} N$ are isoclinic crossed modules then we find that M and N are isoclinic. On the other hand, let N, M are finitely generated groups and $N' \trianglelefteq N$, $M' \trianglelefteq M$. Then the isoclinism of inclusion crossed modules $N' \xhookrightarrow{inc.} N$ and $M' \xhookrightarrow{inc.} M$ give rise to the isoclinism between the pair groups (N', N) and (M', M). Also, the converse is true, that is, if (G_1, G_0) and (H_1, H_0) are isoclinic pair groups, then the resulting inclusion crossed modules $G_1 \xhookrightarrow{inc.} G_0$ and $H_1 \xhookrightarrow{inc.} H_0$ are isoclinic. (See Salemkar et al. (2007), for the definition of isoclinic pair groups)

4. Computer Implementations

GAP is an open-source system for discrete computational algebra. The system consists a library of mathematical algorithm implementations, a database about some algebraic properties of small order groups, vector spaces, modules, algebras, graphs, codes, designs etc. and some character tables of these algebraic structures. The system has world wide usage in the area of education and scientific researches. GAP is free software and user contributions to the system are supported. These contributions are organized in a form of GAP packages and are distributed together with the system. Contributors can submit additional packages for inclusion after a reviewing process.

Since, no standard GAP function yet exist for checking that two groups M and N are isoclinic or not, first we add the function `IsIsoclinicGroup(M,N)`. In the following GAP session it is seen that the dihedral group with order 8 and the quaternion group are isoclinic. Notice that two isoclinic groups may have different orders.

```
gap> Q8 := QuaternionGroup(8);
<pc group of size 8 with 3 generators>
gap> D8 := DihedralGroup(8);
<pc group of size 8 with 3 generators>
gap> IsIsoclinicGroup(Q8,D8);
true
```

In James et al. (1990), the 115 isoclinism families induced from 2328 groups with order 128 and their basic properties were given. We add the function `IsoclinismFamily(M)` to determine the isoclinism classes of the group M with order n. We give a table consisting isoclinism classes of 1543 group with order 192 in Appendix B, by using this function.

The computer applications of crossed modules were given by Alp and Wensley, in Alp and Wensley (2013), by the shared package XMod. To add a function checks if any two crossed modules are isoclinic or not, first we need to define the functions; factor crossed modules, commutator crossed modules which haven't implemented in the XMod package. Also we redefine a function to find center of a given crossed module, to make compatible with the other defined functions.

4.1. Implementations for centers of crossed modules

Up to the definition of isoclinic crossed modules, we first need to construct the center of a given crossed module by using Definition 5 which is called by `CenterXMod(XM)`. The step-by-step construction of this function is given as follows;

Step 1: We added the function `G1G0(XM)`, for computing the subgroup $G_1^{G_0}$, the fixed point of G_1, induced from the crossed module $XM : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$.

```
gap> G1G0(XM);
<pc group of size 2 with 1 generators>
gap> IsSubgroup(Source(XM),last);
true
```

Step 2: We added the function `StG0G1(XM)`, for computing the subgroup $St_{G_0}G_1$, the stabilizer of G_1 in G_0, induced from the crossed module $XM : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$.

```
gap> StGOT(XM);
<pc group of size 1 with 0 generators>
gap> IsSubgroup(Range(XM),last);
true
```

Step 3: By the definition of center of a crossed module $XM : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ given in Definition 5 , we added the function `CenterXMod(XM)`, for computing the center.

```
gap> ZXM := CenterXMod(XM);
[Group( [ f4 ] )->Group( <identity> of ... )]
gap> IsXMod(ZXM);
true
gap> IsNormalXMod(XM,ZXM);
true
```

4.2. Implementations for factor and commutator subcrossed modules

Since no standard GAP function yet exist for computing the commutator subcrossed module of a given crossed module, we added the function `CommutatorSubXMod(XM)`. The step-by-step construction of this function is as follows;

Step 1: We added the function `DGOG1(XM)`, for computing the subgroup $D_{G_0}(G_1)$ induced from the crossed module $XM : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ defined in Definition 6.

```
gap> DerivedSubgroup(Range(XM));
Group([  ])
gap> DGOG1(XM);
<pc group of size 4 with 1 generators>
gap> IsSubgroup(Source(XM),last);
true
```

Step 2: We added the function `DerivedSubXMod(XM)` for computing the subcrossed module $[XM, XM]$ defined in Definition 6.

```
gap> KM := DerivedSubXMod(XM);
[Group( [ f3 ] )->Group( <identity> of ... )]
gap> IsSubXMod(XM,KM);
true
gap> IsNormal(XM,KM);
true
```

Additionally, we added the function `FactorXMod(XM,NM)`, for computing the quotient crossed modules.

```
gap> FactorXMod(XM,NM);
[Group( [ f1, <identity> of ..., <identity> of ... ] )->Group( [ f2, f2 ] )]
gap> IsXMod(last);
true
```

4.3. Implementations for isoclinic crossed modules

We have added a function `IsIsoclinicXMod(XM1,XM2)`, for checking two crossed modules are isoclinic or not. Step-by-step construction of this function is as follows;

Step 1: First of all, we needed a function for isomorphism of crossed modules and so we added the function `IsIsomorphicXMod(XM1,XM2)`. In the following GAP session, it is proved that the constructed crossed modules XM and XM2 are not isomorphic.

```
gap> C2 := Cat1(32,9,1);
[(C8 x C2) : C2=>Group( [ f2, f2 ] )]
gap> XM2 := XMod(C2);
[Group( [ f1*f2*f3, f3, f4, f5 ] )->Group( [ f2, f2 ] )]
gap> IsIsomorphicXMod(XM,XM2);
false
```

Step 2: We determined all isomorphisms between the factor crossed modules $XM1/Z(XM1)$ and $XM2/Z(XM2)$. If there is no such isomorphism, we arrange the function `IsIsoclinicXMod(XM1,XM2)` to make its output `false`.

```
gap> ZXM2 := CenterXMod(XM2);;
gap> IsIsomorphicXMod(FactorXMod(XM,ZXM),FactorXMod(XM2,ZXM2));
true
```

Step 3: We continued the same procedure given in Step 2 for the commutator subcrossed modules.

```
gap> KM2 := DerivedSubXMod(XM2);;
gap> IsIsomorphicXMod(KM,KM2);
true
```

Step 4: Then, after determining the existence of two isomorphism given in Step 2 and Step 3, we arrange `IsIsoclinicXMod(XM1,XM2)` to give out put `true` if the isomorphisms make the diagrams (1) and (2) in Definition 10 commutative.

```
gap> IsIsoclinicXMod(XM,XM2);
true
gap> Size(XM2);
[ 16, 2 ]
```

Remark 7. This GAP session shows that two crossed modules whose orders are different can be isoclinic as it is the case for groups.

5. Character Tables

For determining isoclinism families of order $[n, m]$, we added a function `AllXMods(n,m)` to find all crossed modules of order $[n, m]$.

```
gap> list := AllXMods(4,4);;
gap> Length(list);
60
```

Then we added the function `AllXModsByIso(list)` to choose one representative from all isomorphism families and construct the new list of crossed modules. Naturally, in the new list, there is no isomorphic crossed modules.

```
gap> ilist := AllXModsByIso(list);;
gap> Length(ilist);
18
```

We added the function `IsoclinismXModFamily(XM,ilist)`, to get the isoclinism families for a given order [n,m].

There are two isoclinism families of crossed modules of order [4,4] which is given in the following GAP session.

```
gap> IsoclinismXModFamily(iso_list[3],ilist);
[ 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 ]
gap> IsoclinismXModFamily(iso_list[2],ilist);
[ 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17 ]
```

Now, we introduce the notion "rank" and "middle length" of a crossed module.

Definition 12. Let $G : G_1 \xrightarrow{d} G_0$ be a finite crossed module. Then the pair

$$\left(\log_2 \left|G_1^{G_0} \cap D_{G_0}(G_1)\right|,\ \log_2 |(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)) \cap [G_0, G_0]|\right)$$
$$+$$
$$\left(\log_2 \left|G_1/G_1^{G_0}\right|,\ \log_2 |G_0/(St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))|\right)$$

is called the *rank* of G. Also the pair

$$\left(\log_2 \left|D_{G_0}(G_1)/(G_1^{G_0} \cap D_{G_0}(G_1))\right|,\ \log_2 |[G_0, G_0]/((St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)) \cap [G_0, G_0])|\right)$$

is called the *middle length* of G.

The rank, middle length, nilpotency class and lower central series of the crossed modules in the same isoclinism family are equal. For computing these gadgets (these can be thought as the gadgets for correcting our isoclinism definition) we added the functions `RankOfXMod(XM)`, `MiddleLengthOfXMod(XM)`, `LowerCentralSeriesOfXMod(XM)` and `NilpotencyClassOfXMod(XM)`.

```
gap> RankOfXMod(XM);
[ 3, 1 ]
gap> MiddleLengthOfXMod(XM);
[ 1, 0 ]
gap> LowerCentralSeriesOfXMod(XM);
[ [Group( [ f1*f2*f3, f3, f4 ] )->Group( [ f2, f2 ] )],
  [Group( [ f3 ] )->Group( <identity> of ... )],
  [Group( [ f4 ] )->Group( <identity> of ... )],
  [Group( <identity> of ... )->Group( <identity> of ... )] ]
gap> Length(last);
4
gap> NilpotencyClassOfXMod(XM);
```

3

Example 7. The groups of order 8 has 5 isomorphism classes and 2 isoclinism families which are listed as follows;

Table I

Number of Groups in Each Isoclinism Family

and Some Family Invariants

Family	Numbers	Represent.	Rank	Middle Length	Nilpotency Class	G/Z	$\gamma_2(G)$
1	3	[8,1]	0	0	1	[1,1]	
2	2	[8,3]	3	0	2	[4,2]	[2,1]

By using these 5 isomorphism class, we get 9008 crossed modules with order [8,8], 294 isomorphism classes and 20 isoclinism families.

The following informations is listed in Table II for each isoclinism family;

(1) the number of crossed modules in the family,

(2) the rank of crossed modules in the family,

(3) the middle length of crossed modules in the family,

(4) the nilpotency class, $c > 0$, of the crossed modules in the family.

(5) the size of the central quotient, $XM/Z(XM)$, of a crossed modules XM in the family.

(6) the size of the non-trivial or non-repeatedly terms, $\gamma_2(XM), ..., \gamma_c(XM)$, of the lower central series of XM; here $\gamma_1(XM) = XM$ and $\gamma_{i+1}(XM) = [\gamma_i(XM), XM]$, for $1 \leq i \leq c$.

Table II

Number of Crossed Modules in Each Isoclinism Family

and Some Family Invariants

Fam.	Num.	Rank	M. L.	Class	$\lvert XM/Z(XM)\rvert$	$\lvert\gamma_2(XM)\rvert$	$\lvert\gamma_3(XM)\rvert$
1	37	[0,0]	[0,0]	1	[1,1]		
2	79	[2,1]	[0,0]	2	[2,2]	[2,1]	
3	18	[3,1]	[1,0]	3	[4,2]	[4,1]	[2,1]
4	8	[3,2]	[1,0]	3	[4,4]	[4,1]	[2,1]
5	14	[0,3]	[0,0]	2	[1,4]	[1,2]	
6	42	[2,3]	[0,0]	2	[2,4]	[2,2]	
7	12	[3,3]	[1,0]	3	[4,4]	[4,2]	[2,1]
8	8	[3,3]	[1,0]	3	[4,4]	[4,2]	[2,1]
9	4	[3,3]	[1,0]	3	[4,4]	[4,2]	[2,1]
10	4	[3,2]	[1,0]	3	[4,4]	[4,1]	[2,1]
11	10	[3,2]	[0,0]	2	[2,4]	[4,1]	
12	15	[3,2]	[0,0]	2	[4,4]	[2,1]	
13	10	[3,3]	[0,0]	2	[2,4]	[4,2]	
14	2	[3,3]	[1,1]	3	[4,8]	[4,2]	[2,1]
15	15	[3,3]	[0,0]	2	[4,4]	[2,2]	
16	6	[2,3]	[0,0]	2	[2,4]	[2,2]	
17	2	[3,3]	[1,1]	3	[4,8]	[4,2]	[2,1]
18	2	[3,3]	[0,0]	2	[4,4]	[2,2]	
19	2	[3,2]	[0,0]	2	[4,4]	[2,1]	
20	4	[3,2]	[1,0]	3	[4,4]	[4,1]	[2,1]

Example 8. The group of order 18 has 5 isomorphism classes and 4 isoclinism families.

Table III

Number of Groups in Each Isoclinism Family

and Some Family Invariants

Fam.	Num.	Rep.	Rank	M. L.	Class	G/Z	$\gamma_2(G)$
1	1	[18,1]	4.17	3.17	0	[18,1]	[9,1]
2	2	[18,2]	0.00	0.00	1	[1,1]	
3	1	[18,3]	2.58	1.58	0	[6,1]	[3,1]
4	1	[18,4]	4.17	3.17	0	[18,4]	[9,2]

By using these 5 isomorphism classes, we get 2222 crossed modules with order [18,18], 97 isomorphism classes, 46 isoclinism families.

Table IV

Number of Crossed Modules in Each Isoclinism Family

and Some Family Invariants

Fam.	Num.	Rank	M. L.	Class	$\lvert XM/Z(XM)\rvert$	$\lvert\gamma_2(XM)\rvert$	$\lvert\gamma_3(XM)\rvert$
1	1	[4.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[18,18]	[9,9]	
2	2	[0.00,4.17]	[0.00,3.17]	0	[1,18]	[1,9]	
3	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
4	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
5	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
6	20	[0.00,0.00]	[0.00,0.00]	1	[1,1]		
7	2	[3.17,2.58]	[3.17,0.00]	0	[9,6]	[9,1]	
8	16	[3.17,1.58]	[0.00,0.00]	2	[3,3]	[3,1]	
9	2	[3.17,1.00]	[3.17,0.00]	0	[9,2]	[9,1]	
10	4	[0.00,2.58]	[0.00,1.58]	0	[1,6]	[1,3]	
11	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,1.58]	0	[9,18]	[9,3]	
12	2	[3.17,4.17]	[0.00,1.58]	0	[3,18]	[3,3]	[1,3]
13	1	[3.17,2.58]	[3.17,1.58]	0	[9,6]	[9,3]	
14	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,1.58]	0	[9,18]	[9,3]	
15	1	[3.17,2.58]	[3.17,1.58]	0	[9,6]	[9,3]	

table continued

Fam.	Num.	Rank	M. L.	Class	$\lvert XM/Z(XM)\rvert$	$\lvert\gamma_2(XM)\rvert$	$\lvert\gamma_3(XM)\rvert$
16	2	[0.00,4.17]	[0.00,3.17]	0	[1,18]	[1,9]	
17	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
18	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
19	2	[2.58,2.58]	[1.58,1.58]	0	[6,6]	[3,3]	
20	1	[4.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[18,18]	[9,9]	
21	1	[1.58,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[3,18]	[3,9]	
22	1	[3.17,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[3,9]	
23	1	[3.17,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
24	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
25	1	[1.58,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[3,18]	[3,9]	
26	1	[3.17,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[3,18]	[9,9]	
27	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
28	4	[1.58,1.00]	[1.58,0.00]	0	[3,2]	[3,1]	
29	2	[3.17,2.58]	[3.17,0.00]	0	[9,6]	[9,1]	
30	2	[3.17,1.00]	[3.17,0.00]	0	[9,2]	[9,1]	
31	2	[1.58,2.58]	[1.58,1.58]	0	[3,6]	[3,3]	
32	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,1.58]	0	[9,18]	[9,3]	
33	1	[3.17,2.58]	[3.17,1.58]	0	[9,6]	[9,3]	
34	2	[3.17,2.58]	[1.58,1.58]	0	[9,6]	[3,3]	
35	1	[3.17,2.58]	[1.58,1.58]	0	[3,6]	[9,3]	
36	2	[1.58,2.58]	[1.58,1.58]	0	[3,6]	[3,3]	
37	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,1.58]	0	[9,18]	[9,3]	
38	1	[3.17,2.58]	[3.17,1.58]	0	[9,6]	[9,3]	
39	1	[1.58,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[3,18]	[3,9]	
40	1	[3.17,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[3,9]	
41	1	[3.17,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[3,18]	[9,9]	
42	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
43	1	[1.58,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[3,18]	[3,9]	
44	1	[3.17,4.17]	[1.58,3.17]	0	[3,18]	[9,9]	
45	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	
46	1	[3.17,4.17]	[3.17,3.17]	0	[9,18]	[9,9]	

Appendix A

Well definition of the maps c_1 and c_0 used in Definition 10:

Let $(g_1G_1^{G_0}, g_0St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0)) = (a_1G_1^{G_0}, a_0St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0))$, then $g_1^{-1}(a_1) \in G_1^{G_0} \subseteq Z(G_1)$ and $g_0^{-1}(a_0) \in St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0) \subseteq Z(G_0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{g_0}g_1g_1^{-1} &= ({}^{g_0}g_1)g_1^{-1}(a_1)(a_1)^{-1} \\
&= ({}^{g_0}g_1)^{g_0}(g_1^{-1}a_1)(a_1)^{-1} \ (\because g_1^{-1}a_1 \in G_1^{G_0}) \\
&= {}^{g_0}(g_1g_1^{-1}a_1)(a_1)^{-1} \ (\because ({}^{g_0}gg_1) = ({}^{g_0}g)({}^{g_0}g_1)) \\
&= ({}^{g_0}a_1)(a_1)^{-1} \\
&= {}^{(g_0^{-1}a_0)}({}^{g_0}a_1)(a_1)^{-1} \ (\because g_0^{-1}a_0 \in St_{G_0}(G_1)) \\
&= ({}^{(g_0^{-1}a_0)g_0}a_1)(a_1)^{-1} \ (\because {}^{(gg')}g_1 = {}^{g}{}^{g'}g_1) \\
&= ({}^{g_0(g_0^{-1}a_0)}a_1)(a_1)^{-1} \ (\because g_0^{-1}a_0 \in Z(G_0)) \\
&= ({}^{a_0}a_1)(a_1)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the well-definition of c_1.

Similarly, let $\overline{g_0}, \overline{a_0}, \overline{g_0'}, \overline{a_0'} \in \overline{G_0}$. If $(\overline{g_0}, \overline{g_0'}) = (\overline{a_0}, \overline{a_0'})$, then $g_0^{-1}a_0 \in St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0) \subseteq Z(G_0)$ and $(g_0')^{-1}a_0' \in St_{G_0}(G_1) \cap Z(G_0) \subseteq Z(G_0)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[g_0, g_0'] &= g_0g_0'g_0^{-1}(g_0')^{-1} \\
&= g_0g_0'g_0^{-1}(a_0a_0^{-1})(g_0')^{-1} \\
&= g_0g_0'(g_0^{-1}a_0)a_0^{-1}(g_0')^{-1} \\
&= g_0(g_0^{-1}a_0)g_0'a_0^{-1}(g_0')^{-1} \ (\because g_0^{-1}a_0 \in Z(G_0)) \\
&= a_0g_0'a_0^{-1}(g_0')^{-1} \\
&= a_0g_0'a_0^{-1}(g_0')^{-1}(a_0'(a_0')^{-1}) \\
&= a_0g_0'a_0^{-1}((g_0')^{-1}a_0')(a_0')^{-1} \\
&= a_0g_0'((g_0')^{-1}a_0')a_0^{-1}(a_0')^{-1} \ (\because (g_0')^{-1}a_0' \in Z(G_0)) \\
&= a_0a_0'a_0^{-1}(a_0')^{-1} \\
&= [a_0, a_0']
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the well-definition of c_0.

Well definition of the maps c_1' and c_0' can be shown by a similar way.

Proof of Proposition 8:

(a) i) Let $\delta, \delta' \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$. We first show that $\delta\delta' \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$. Since $\delta, \delta' \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$, there exist $g_1, g_1' \in G_1$ such that $\delta(g_0) = g_1\ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1}$and $\delta'(g_0) = (g_1')^{g_0}g_1'$, for

all $g_0 \in G_0$, $g_1, g_1' \in G_1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\delta'(g_0) &= \delta(d\delta'(g_0)g_0)\delta'(g_0) \\
&= \delta(d(\delta'(g_0))g_0)\delta'(g_0) \\
&= \delta(d(\delta'(g_0))^{d(\delta'(g_0))}\delta(g_0)\delta'(g_0) \ (\because \delta \in D(G_0, G_1)) \\
&= \delta(d(\delta'(g_0))\delta'(g_0)\delta(g_0)(\delta'(g_0))^{-1}\delta'(g_0) \ (\because d \sim \text{crossed module}) \\
&= g_1 \ {}^{d(\delta'(g_0))}g_1^{-1}(g_1')^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1}g_1 \ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1} \\
&= g_1\delta'(g_0)g_1^{-1}(\delta'(g_0))^{-1}(g_1')^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1}g_1 \ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1} \\
&= g_1((g_1')^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1})g_1^{-1}((g_1')^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1})^{-1}(g_1')^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1}g_1 \ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1} \\
&= g_1g_1' \ {}^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1}g_1^{-1}({}^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1})^{-1}(g_1')^{-1}(g_1')({}^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1})g_1 \ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1} \\
&= g_1g_1' \ {}^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1}g_1^{-1}({}^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1})^{-1}({}^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1})g_1 \ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1} \\
&= g_1g_1' \ {}^{g_0}(g_1')^{-1}g_1^{-1}g_1 \ {}^{g_0}g_1^{-1} \\
&= g_1g_1' \ {}^{g_0}((g_1')^{-1}g_1^{-1}) \\
&= (g_1g_1')^{g_0}(g_1g_1')^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

i.e $\delta\delta' \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$.
ii) Let $\delta \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$. Since $\delta \in D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1)$, there exists $g_1 \in G_1$ such that $\delta(g_0) = g_1$ ${}^{g_0}g_1^{-1}$, for all $g_0 \in G_0$. Define $\delta^{-1}(g_0) = (g_1^{-1})^{g_0}g_1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\delta^{-1}(g_0) &= g_1g_1^{-1} \ {}^{g_0}(g_1^{-1}g_1)^{-1} \\
&= g_1g_1^{-1{}^{g_0}}e_{G_1} \\
&= e_{G_1}e_{G_1} \\
&= e_{G_1} \\
&= id_{D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0,G_1)}(g_0).
\end{aligned}$$

So $D_{\mathcal{C}}(G_0, G_1) \leq D(G_0, G_1)$.

(b) Let $(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta') \in Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$. Since $(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta') \in Aut_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$, there exist $g_0, g_0' \in G_0$ such that $\alpha(g_1) = {}^{g_0}g_1$;
$\alpha'(g_1) = {}^{g_0'}g_1$; $\beta(h_0) = g_0h_0g_0^{-1}$; $\beta'(h_0) = g_0'h_0(g_0')^{-1}$, for all $g_0, h_0 \in G_0$, $g_1 \in G_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha \circ \alpha')(g_1) &= \alpha(\alpha'(g_1)) \\
&= \alpha({}^{g_0}g_1) \\
&= {}^{g_0'g_0}g_1 \\
&= {}^{(g_0'g_0)}g_1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta\circ\beta')(h_0) &= \beta(\beta'(h_0))\\ &= \beta(g_0'h_0(g_0')^{-1})\\ &= g_0(g_0'h_0(g_0')^{-1})g_0^{-1}\\ &= g_0g_0'h_0(g_0g_0')^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

So $(\alpha,\beta)\circ(\alpha',\beta')\in Aut_c(G)$, for all $(\alpha,\beta),(\alpha',\beta')\in Aut_c(G)$.

Let $(\alpha,\beta)\in Aut_c(G)$. If we define $(\alpha,\beta)^{-1}=(\alpha^{-1},\beta^{-1})$ by $\alpha^{-1}(g_1)={}^{g_0^{-1}}g_1$, $\beta^{-1}(g_0')=g_0^{-1}g_0'g_0$, for all $g_0,g_0'\in G_0$, $g_1\in G_1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha\circ\alpha^{-1})(g_1) &= \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(g_1))\\ &= \alpha({}^{g_0^{-1}}g_1)\\ &= {}^{g_0g_0^{-1}}g_1\\ &= {}^{(g_0g_0^{-1})}g_1\\ &= {}^{e_{G_0}}g_1\\ &= g_1\\ &= id_{G_1}(g_1)\end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\beta\circ\beta^{-1}=id_{G_0}$. So $Aut_c(G)\le Aut(G)$.

Appendix B

Table V

Number of Groups in Each Isoclinism Family

and Some Family Invariants

Fam.	Num.	Rep.	Rank	M. L.	Class	G/Z	$\gamma_2(G)$	$\gamma_3(G)$	$\gamma_4(G)$	$\gamma_5(G)$	$\gamma_6(G)$
1	19	[192,1]	2.58	1.58	0	[6,1]	[3,1]				
2	11	[192,2]	0.00	0.00	1	[1,1]					
3	1	[192,3]	7.58	6.00	0	[192,3]	[64,2]				
4	1	[192,4]	7.58	4.00	0	[48,3]	[64,19]				
5	53	[192,6]	4.58	1.58	0	[12,4]	[6,2]	[3,1]			
6	3	[192,7]	7.58	4.58	0	[96,6]	[48,2]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]
7	10	[192,10]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,14]	[24,9]	[12,5]	[3,1]		
8	25	[192,15]	5.58	2.58	0	[24,6]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
9	5	[192,25]	7.58	1.58	0	[48,11]	[12,2]	[3,1]			
10	12	[192,27]	6.58	2.58	0	[48,14]	[12,5]	[6,2]	[3,1]		

table continued

Fam.	Num.	Rep.	Rank	M. L.	Class	G/Z	$\gamma_2(G)$	$\gamma_3(G)$	$\gamma_4(G)$	$\gamma_5(G)$	$\gamma_6(G)$
11	4	[192,30]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,13]	[24,15]	[12,5]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
12	4	[192,32]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,13]	[24,9]	[12,5]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
13	35	[192,38]	5.58	2.58	0	[24,8]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
14	2	[192,46]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,15]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
15	3	[192,47]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,16]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
16	14	[192,48]	6.58	3.58	0	[48,15]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
17	10	[192,62]	6.58	3.58	0	[48,7]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
18	1	[192,72]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,24]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
19	3	[192,75]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,28]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
20	4	[192,78]	7.58	4.58	0	[96,33]	[48,2]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]
21	11	[192,84]	6.58	2.58	0	[48,19]	[12,5]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
22	2	[192,95]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,41]	[24,15]	[12,5]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
23	7	[192,96]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,19]	[24,9]	[12,5]	[3,1]		
24	4	[192,100]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,41]	[24,9]	[12,5]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
25	3	[192,122]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,39]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
26	31	[192,128]	3.00	0.00	2	[4,2]	[2,1]				
27	11	[192,129]	5.00	1.00	3	[16,3]	[4,2]	[2,1]			
28	25	[192,131]	4.00	1.00	3	[8,3]	[4,1]	[2,1]			
29	7	[192,133]	6.00	1.00	3	[16,3]	[8,2]	[4,2]			
30	3	[192,143]	6.00	0.00	2	[16,2]	[4,1]				
31	2	[192,157]	6.00	2.00	4	[32,6]	[8,5]	[4,2]	[2,1]		
32	4	[192,159]	6.00	2.00	4	[32,6]	[8,2]	[4,2]	[2,1]		
33	10	[192,163]	5.00	2.00	4	[16,7]	[8,1]	[4,1]	[2,1]		
34	3	[192,166]	6.00	2.00	4	[32,9]	[8,1]	[4,1]	[2,1]		
35	1	[192,171]	6.00	2.00	4	[32,13]	[8,1]	[4,1]	[2,1]		
36	3	[192,177]	6.00	3.00	5	[32,18]	[16,1]	[8,1]	[4,1]	[2,1]	
37	2	[192,180]	7.58	5.58	0	[96,64]	[96,3]				
38	2	[192,182]	6.58	5.58	0	[96,64]	[48,3]				
39	11	[192,183]	5.58	3.58	0	[24,12]	[24,3]				
40	1	[192,184]	7.58	5.58	0	[192,184]	[48,50]				

table continued

Fam.	Num.	Rep.	Rank	M. L.	Class	G/Z	$\gamma_2(G)$	$\gamma_3(G)$	$\gamma_4(G)$	$\gamma_5(G)$	$\gamma_6(G)$
41	1	[192,185]	7.58	5.58	0	[192,185]	[48,3]				
42	7	[192,186]	4.58	3.58	0	[24,12]	[12,3]				
43	2	[192,188]	5.58	4.00	0	[48,3]	[16,2]				
44	2	[192,189]	6.58	4.00	0	[48,3]	[32,2]				
45	2	[192,191]	6.58	4.00	0	[96,70]	[16,14]				
46	2	[192,192]	6.58	4.00	0	[96,71]	[16,2]				
47	2	[192,193]	6.58	4.00	0	[96,72]	[16,2]				
48	2	[192,194]	7.58	4.00	0	[96,72]	[32,2]				
49	2	[192,195]	7.58	4.00	0	[96,70]	[32,47]				
50	2	[192,197]	7.58	4.00	0	[96,71]	[32,2]				
51	7	[192,200]	4.58	2.00	0	[12,3]	[8,4]				
52	2	[192,201]	7.58	4.00	0	[96,70]	[32,49]				
53	5	[192,203]	3.58	2.00	0	[12,3]	[4,2]				
54	106	[192,205]	6.58	1.58	0	[24,14]	[12,5]	[3,1]			
55	49	[192,207]	5.58	1.58	0	[24,14]	[6,2]	[3,1]			
56	36	[192,215]	7.58	1.58	0	[24,14]	[24,15]	[3,1]			
57	35	[192,238]	6.58	1.58	0	[24,14]	[12,5]	[3,1]			
58	13	[192,239]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,36]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
59	9	[192,261]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,36]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
60	13	[192,269]	6.58	2.58	0	[48,36]	[12,1]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
61	24	[192,280]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,36]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
62	6	[192,299]	7.58	2.58	0	[96,87]	[12,5]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
63	2	[192,300]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,89]	[24,15]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
64	4	[192,305]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,89]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
65	4	[192,307]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,91]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
66	30	[192,315]	6.58	2.58	0	[48,38]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
67	26	[192,316]	6.58	2.58	0	[48,38]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
68	64	[192,318]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,38]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
69	24	[192,319]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,38]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
70	20	[192,323]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,38]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		

table continued

Fam.	Num.	Rep.	Rank	M. L.	Class	G/Z	$\gamma_2(G)$	$\gamma_3(G)$	$\gamma_4(G)$	$\gamma_5(G)$	$\gamma_6(G)$
71	4	[192,381]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,89]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
72	3	[192,455]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,102]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
73	2	[192,467]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,110]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
74	6	[192,469]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,117]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
75	4	[192,470]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,117]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
76	21	[192,523]	6.58	2.58	0	[48,43]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
77	24	[192,526]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,43]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
78	2	[192,591]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,144]	[24,15]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
79	24	[192,592]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,43]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
80	18	[192,597]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,43]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
81	16	[192,598]	7.58	2.58	0	[48,43]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
82	4	[192,620]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,144]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
83	3	[192,700]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,137]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
84	4	[192,706]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,138]	[24,2]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]	
85	3	[192,719]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,145]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
86	4	[192,757]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,144]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
87	4	[192,758]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,146]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
88	4	[192,800]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,160]	[24,9]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
89	2	[192,802]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,160]	[24,15]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
90	35	[192,812]	5.00	0.00	2	[8,5]	[4,2]				
91	10	[192,825]	6.00	0.00	2	[8,5]	[8,5]				
92	13	[192,850]	5.00	1.00	3	[16,11]	[4,1]	[2,1]			
93	24	[192,880]	6.00	1.00	3	[16,11]	[8,2]	[2,1]			
94	4	[192,886]	6.00	2.00	3	[32,27]	[8,2]	[2,1]			
95	2	[192,890]	6.00	2.00	3	[32,27]	[8,5]	[2,1]			
96	13	[192,898]	6.00	1.00	3	[16,11]	[8,2]	[2,1]			
97	9	[192,901]	6.00	1.00	3	[16,11]	[8,2]	[2,1]			
98	3	[192,904]	6.00	2.00	3	[32,28]	[8,2]	[2,1]			
99	2	[192,942]	6.00	2.00	4	[32,39]	[8,1]	[4,1]	[2,1]		
100	10	[192,945]	7.58	3.58	0	[48,48]	[48,32]	[24,3]			

table continued

Fam.	Num.	Rep.	Rank	M. L.	Class	G/Z	$\gamma_2(G)$	$\gamma_3(G)$	$\gamma_4(G)$	$\gamma_5(G)$	$\gamma_6(G)$
101	10	[192,947]	6.58	3.58	0	[48,48]	[24,3]				
102	1	[192,955]	7.58	5.58	0	[192,955]	[48,50]				
103	1	[192,956]	7.58	5.58	0	[192,956]	[48,3]				
104	3	[192,957]	7.58	4.58	0	[96,187]	[48,31]	[24,13]	[12,3]		
105	10	[192,959]	6.58	3.58	0	[48,48]	[24,13]	[12,3]			
106	3	[192,962]	7.58	4.58	0	[96,187]	[48,33]	[24,3]			
107	4	[192,973]	7.58	4.58	0	[96,195]	[48,31]	[24,13]	[12,3]		
108	4	[192,987]	7.58	4.58	0	[96,195]	[48,33]	[24,3]			
109	6	[192,994]	6.58	2.00	0	[48,49]	[8,5]	[4,2]			
110	6	[192,998]	6.58	2.00	0	[48,49]	[8,4]				
111	5	[192,1003]	7.58	2.00	0	[48,49]	[16,12]	[8,4]			
112	1	[192,1008]	7.58	4.00	0	[192,1008]	[16,2]				
113	1	[192,1009]	7.58	4.00	0	[192,1009]	[16,14]				
114	3	[192,1014]	7.58	3.00	0	[96,197]	[16,10]	[8,5]	[4,2]		
115	3	[192,1017]	7.58	3.00	0	[96,197]	[16,13]	[8,4]			
116	1	[192,1020]	7.58	6.00	0	[192,1020]	[64,192]				
117	1	[192,1021]	7.58	4.00	0	[48,50]	[64,224]				
118	1	[192,1022]	7.58	4.00	0	[48,50]	[64,239]				
119	1	[192,1023]	7.58	6.00	0	[1092,1023]	[64,242]				
120	1	[192,1024]	7.58	4.00	0	[48,50]	[64,242]				
121	1	[192,1025]	7.58	6.00	0	[192,1025]	[64,245]				
122	24	[192,1042]	7.58	1.58	0	[48,51]	[12,5]	[3,1]			
123	20	[192,1045]	6.58	1.58	0	[48,51]	[6,2]	[3,1]			
124	50	[192,1049]	7.58	1.58	0	[48,51]	[12,5]	[3,1]			
125	26	[192,1145]	7.58	1.58	0	[48,51]	[12,5]	[3,1]			
126	55	[192,1146]	7.58	1.58	0	[48,51]	[12,5]	[3,1]			
127	50	[192,1148]	7.58	1.58	0	[48,51]	[12,5]	[3,1]			
128	19	[192,1153]	7.58	1.58	0	[48,51]	[12,5]	[3,1]			
129	3	[192,1310]	7.58	2.58	0	[96,207]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
130	6	[192,1316]	7.58	2.58	0	[96,209]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		

table continued

Fam.	Num.	Rep.	Rank	M. L.	Class	G/Z	$\gamma_2(G)$	$\gamma_3(G)$	$\gamma_4(G)$	$\gamma_5(G)$	$\gamma_6(G)$
131	4	[192,1331]	7.58	2.58	0	[96,209]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
132	4	[192,1333]	7.58	2.58	0	[96,209]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
133	4	[192,1394]	7.58	2.58	0	[96,219]	[12,2]	[6,2]	[3,1]		
134	7	[192,1407]	5.00	0.00	2	[16,14]	[2,1]				
135	11	[192,1423]	6.00	0.00	2	[16,14]	[4,2]				
136	15	[192,1434]	6.00	0.00	2	[16,14]	[4,2]				
137	5	[192,1449]	6.00	0.00	2	[16,14]	[4,2]				
138	3	[192,1465]	6.00	1.00	3	[32,46]	[4,1]	[2,1]			
139	4	[192,1472]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,226]	[24,13]	[12,3]			
140	4	[192,1483]	7.58	3.58	0	[96,226]	[24,3]				
141	2	[192,1489]	7.58	5.58	0	[96,227]	[96,203]				
142	2	[192,1491]	7.58	5.58	0	[96,227]	[96,204]				
143	2	[192,1492]	7.58	5.58	0	[96,227]	[96,204]				
144	2	[192,1495]	6.58	5.58	0	[96,227]	[48,50]				
145	2	[192,1505]	5.58	4.00	0	[48,50]	[16,14]				
146	2	[192,1506]	6.58	4.00	0	[48,50]	[32,47]				
147	2	[192,1508]	6.58	4.00	0	[48,50]	[32,49]				
148	2	[192,1524]	7.58	1.58	0	[96,230]	[6,2]	[3,1]			
149	2	[192,1525]	7.58	1.58	0	[96,230]	[6,2]	[3,1]			
150	1	[192,1541]	7.58	6.00	0	[192,1541]	[64,267]				

The following informations is listed in Table V for each isoclinism family;
(1) the number of groups in the family;
(2) the rank; the rank of G is $\log_2 |Z(G) \cap G'| + \log_2 |G/Z(G)|$.
(3) the middle length; the middle length of G is $\log_2 |G'/Z(G) \cap G'|$.
(4) the nilpotency class, $c > 0$, of the groups in the family.
(5) the group id of $G/Z(G)$, of a group G in the family.
(6) the group id of the non-trivial or non-repeatedly terms, $\gamma_2(G), ..., \gamma_c(G)$, of the lower central series of G; here $\gamma_1(G) = G$ and $\gamma_{i+1}(G) = [\gamma_i(G), G]$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$.

References

Alp, M., & Wensley, C.D., XMOD: Crossed modules and cat^1-groups in GAP, 2013, *GAP share package* Version 2.26.

Beyl, F.R., & Tappe, J., Group extensions, representations and the Schur multiplier, 1982, Springer-Verlag.

Brown, R., & Wensley, C.D., Computation and homotopical applications of induced crossed modules, 2003, Journal of Symbolic Computation, 35, 59-72.

Brown, R., Higgins PJ., & Sivera, R., Nonabelian algebraic topology, 2011, European Math. Soc..

Ellis, G., Computing group resolutions, 2004, Journal of Symbolic Computation, 38, 1077-1118.

Ellis, G., & Luyen, L., Computational homology of n-types, 2012, Journal of Symbolic Computation, 47(11), 1309-1317.

Ellis, G., HAP: Homological Algebra Programming, *GAP share package* Version 1.10.15 (2013).

Hall, M., & Senior, J.K., The groups of order 2^n $(n \leq 6)$, 1964, Macmillan.

Hall, P., The classification of prime power groups, 1940, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 182, 130-141.

Huq, S. A., Commutator, nilpotency and solvability in categories, 1968, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser., 2, 19, 363-389.

James, R., Newman, M.F., & O'Brien, E.A., The groups of order 128, 1990, Journal of Algebra, 129, 136-158.

Jones, M.R., & Wiegold, J., Isoclinism and covering groups, 1974, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 11, 71-76.

Lue, A.S.T., Semi-complete crossed modules and holomorphs of groups, 1979, The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 11, 8-16.

Modabbernia, R., Isologism, Schur-pair property and Baer-invariant of groups, 2012, World Applied Sciences Journal, 16, 1631-1637.

Mohammadzadeh, H., Salemkar, A.R., & Riyahi, Z., Isoclinic extensions of Lie algebras, 2013, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 598-606.

Norrie, K.J., Actions and automorphism of crossed modules, 1990, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 118, 129-146.

Norrie, K.J., Crossed modules and analogues of group theorems, 1987, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London.

Parvaneh, F., Moghaddam R., & Khaksar, A., Some properties of n-isoclinism in Lie algebras, 2011, Italian Journal of Pure and Appl. Math., 28, 165-176.

Porter, T., The crossed menagerie, 2011,http://ncatlab.org/timporter/files/menagerie11.pdf.

Salemkar, A.R., Bigdely H., & Alamian, V., Some properties on isoclinism of Lie algebras and covers, 2008, Journal of Algebra and Its Appl., 7, 507-516.

Salemkar, A.R., Saeedi F., & Karimi, T., The structure of isoclinism classes of pairs of groups, 2007, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 31, 1173-1181.

Tappe, J., On isoclinic groups, 1976, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 148, 147-153.

Vieites, A.M., & Casas, J.M., Some results on central extensions of crossed modules, 2002, Homology, Homotopy and Applications, 4(1), 29-42.

Whitehead, J.H.C., On operators in relative homotopy groups, 1948, Ann. Math., 49, 610-640.

Yadav, M.K., On automorphisms of some finite p-groups, 2008, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 118, 1-11.